

# A Portfolio Model of Quantitative Easing

**Jens H. E. Christensen  
&  
Signe Krogstrup**

*European Central Bank Workshop*

**Money Markets, Monetary Policy Implementation  
and Central Bank Balance Sheets**

ECB, Frankfurt am Main, Germany  
November 6, 2017

The views expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the IMF, its Executive Board, IMF management, the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, or the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

- The transmission of QE to long rates is not well understood, conceptually and empirically.
- Notably, the existing literature lacks accounting for
  - The special features of central bank reserves;
  - The role of commercial banks for transmission.
- Transmission details matter for how to best design, calibrate, communicate, and exit QE programs.

- We develop a portfolio model that contains the assets and liabilities of the central bank and of reserve-holding commercial banks.
- Two financial frictions, *imperfect substitutability* and *segmentation of the market for central bank reserves*, lead to two distinct portfolio balance effects:
  - Standard **supply-induced effects** due to lower available supply of the purchased assets;
  - Novel **reserve-induced effects** that are independent of the assets acquired.
- Key implication: Financial market structure and banking regulations may matter for transmission.

- 1 Background and intuition
- 2 The portfolio model
- 3 Equilibrium bond price effect of QE
- 4 Empirical relevance
- 5 Concluding thoughts

# Existing Models Omit Important Aspects of QE

- 1 Signaling channel: Announcements of QE inform about future economic conditions or monetary policy intentions.
- 2 Supply-induced portfolio balance channel: CB purchases of long-term bonds reduce the supply of these in the market, thereby increasing their price.
- What about the role of reserves in QE?
  - Only banks can hold central bank reserves.
  - Bernanke and Reinhart (2004) argue that an expansion of reserves by itself can lead to portfolio balance effects.
  - Christensen and Krogstrup (2016) find empirical support for portfolio balance effects on long bond prices from reserve expansions.
  - Vayanos and Vila (2009) have no role for reserves or banks.

# Intuition for Reserve-Induced Effects (1)


Banks	
<u>Assets</u>	<u>Liabilities</u>
Reserves ↑	Equity
Short bonds ↓	Deposits ↑
Long bonds	Other debt
Other assets	

Central Bank	
<u>Assets</u>	<u>Liabilities</u>
Short bonds ↑	Equity
Long bonds	Reserves ↑
Other assets	Other liabilities

Non-Bank Financial Firms	
<u>Assets</u>	<u>Liabilities</u>
Deposits ↑	Equity
Short bonds ↓	Debt
Long Bonds	
Other assets	

- Example where central bank purchases short bonds in exchange for reserves.
- Traditional view: No effect at ZLB because short bonds and money are perfect substitutes.

# Intuition for Reserve-Induced Effects (2)



- Initial impact of QE: Bank asset duration is shortened.
- The extra reserves must stay in banks: Hot potato effect....
- ... until longer-duration yields decline (prices increase) enough to make banks content to hold the extra reserves.

One-period portfolio model of asset market equilibrium.

- Three types of actors:
  - A central bank (*CB*);
  - A continuum of reserve holding commercial banks (*B*);
  - A continuum of nonbank financial firms (*NB*).
- Three types of assets:
  - Long bonds,  $L$ , with the price of  $P_L$  and  $TP = 1 - P_L > 0$ ;
  - Central bank reserves,  $R$ , with the price of one (numeraire);
  - Bank deposits,  $D$ , with the price of one.



## Central bank balance sheet:

- $P_L L_{CB} = E_{CB} + R.$ 
  - $L_{CB}$  is the central bank's holdings of the long bond;
  - $E_{CB}$  is the value of the central bank's initial equity;
  - $R$  is the amount of outstanding reserves.

## Policy tool:

Bond purchases,  $P_L dL_{CB}$ , paid for with reserves,  $dR$ , while equity is determined as a residual from bond price changes

- $dE_{CB} = dP_L L_{CB} + P_L dL_{CB} - dR.$

# Model - Nonbank financial firms

Nonbank financial firm  $j$ 's balance sheet:

- $P_L L_{NB}^j + D_{NB}^j = E_{NB}^j$ .
  - $L_{NB}^j$  is firm  $j$ 's holdings of the long bond;
  - $D_{NB}^j$  is its holdings of bank deposits;
  - $E_{NB}^j$  is its initial equity value.

Nonbank financial firms balance their liquid portfolio and demand positive amounts of both deposits and bonds:

- $L_{NB}^j = f_{NB}(P_L, E_{NB}^j)$ ;
- $\frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial P_L} < 0$ , i.e., normal downward sloping bond demand;
- $\frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial E_{NB}} = 0$ , no immediate reaction to changes in equity value.

The demand for deposits is determined as a residual:

- $D_{NB}^j = E_{NB}^j - P_L f_{NB}(P_L, E_{NB}^j)$ .

# Model - Depository Banks

Depository bank  $i$ 's balance sheet:

- $R^i + P_L L_B^i = E_B^i + D_B^i$ .
  - $L_B^i$  is bank  $i$ 's holdings of the long bond;
  - $R^i$  is its holdings of central bank reserves;
  - $D_B^i$  is the bank's deposit funding;
  - $E_B^i$  is its initial equity value.

Depository banks' demand for bonds:

- $L_B^i = f_B(P_L, E_B^i + D_B^i)$ .

Central assumptions:

- $\frac{\partial f_B}{\partial P_L} < 0 \Rightarrow$  bond is a normal good, imperfect substitutability;
- $0 < \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial D_B^i} < 1 \Rightarrow$  "Maturity transformation" assumption.

The demand for reserves is determined as a residual:

- $R_B^i = E_B^i + D_B^i - P_L f_B(P_L, E_B^i + D_B^i)$ .

- We assume a continuum of identical banks and nonbanks normalized to 1  $\Rightarrow$  We can drop superscripts.
- Equilibrium: The bond price that ensures aggregate demand for bonds from banks and nonbanks equals total supply of bonds net of central bank holdings.
- Comparative statics: We analyze the change in the equilibrium bond price associated with a QE transaction

$$dL_{CB} = -dL_B - dL_{NB} > 0.$$

- What happens?

# Model Solution with One Traded Security

Change in the equilibrium bond price due to a QE transaction:

$$\bullet \frac{dP_L}{dL_{CB}} = \frac{-1}{\frac{\partial f_B}{\partial P_L} + \frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial P_L} \left(1 - P_L \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial D_B}\right)} > 0.$$

Deposits respond to central bank purchases as follows

$$\bullet \frac{dD_B}{dL_{CB}} = -P_L \frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial P_L} \times \frac{dP_L}{dL_{CB}} \geq 0.$$

Impact depends on:

- The asset price sensitivity of the bond demand;
- Banks' propensity to engage in maturity transformation.

## Corner Solution with only Banks Selling Bonds

- For intuition, consider the special case where nonbanks have inelastic demand for bonds:  $\frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial P_L} = 0$ .
- $\frac{dP_L}{dL_{CB}} = \frac{-1}{\frac{\partial f_B}{\partial P_L}} > 0$ .
- $\frac{dD_B}{dL_{CB}} = 0$ .

The reserve-induced effect shuts down, but supply-induced effects continue to exist.

# Corner Solution with only Nonbanks Selling Bonds

- Now, consider the other extreme where banks have inelastic demand for bonds:  $\frac{\partial f_B}{\partial P_L} = 0$ .

- $$\frac{dP_L}{dL_{CB}} = \frac{-1}{\frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial P_L} \left( 1 - P_L \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial D_B} \right)} > 0.$$

- $$\frac{dD_B}{dL_{CB}} = \frac{P_L}{1 - P_L \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial D_B}} > 0.$$

The reserve-induced effect arises, amplifying the supply-induced effect.

# Summary of Model Findings

- When nonbanks' demand for bonds is sensitive to bond prices, reserve-induced portfolio balance effects arise and amplify the transmission of QE.
- Model with two traded securities in addition to reserves and deposits confirm findings, but is less tractable (see paper).
- Reserve-induced effects on long bond yields or other asset prices are independent of the assets purchased.




# Empirical Relevance of Reserve-Induced Effects (1)

Have reserve-induced effects been empirically relevant in QE programs?

- For identification of reserve effects independently of supply effects, we need QE-style central bank reserve expansions in the absence of long-term bond purchases.
- The Swiss reserve expansion program of August 2011 represents a unique natural experiment.
- Christensen and Krogstrup (2016) analyze the announcement responses and present supporting evidence.
- Event studies of U.S. and U.K. QE programs cannot separately identify reserve effects, but circumstances make them likely.

# Empirical Relevance of Reserve-Induced Effects (2)

- Data on bank total liabilities - except for QE1, U.S. banks have tended to see an expansion of their balance sheets in tandem with Fed asset purchases.



- We develop a portfolio model of the transmission of QE to asset prices that takes the roles of central bank reserves and depository banks into account.
- PB effects come in two forms, supply- and reserve-induced.
- Characteristics of reserve-induced effects:
  - Independent of the assets the central bank is purchasing.
  - Importance depends on financial market structure, banks' preferences, and their portfolio constraints (regulation).
  - Empirically relevant, likely to have played a role in the transmission of QE2 and QE3.

- Implications for design and transmission of QE programs
  - Which assets to buy? Not necessary to buy long-dated securities to affect long-term yields.
  - Financial institutional framework and counterparties matter. Who has access to reserves?
  - Role of regulation in transmission: banks' leverage constraints and portfolio risk management tools are both likely to matter.
- Implications for the exit
  - A “naive” exit from QE through absorption of reserves without asset sales could still affect long-term bond markets.